Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 17 on page 1 with the following amended paragraph:

In order to be able to avoid gluing, a plug-in profile for a panel is known from printed publication WO 96/27721 which, first of all, comprises groove grooves and tongues in the known manner. Furthermore, every tongue has on a top and/or bottom side at least one continuous protruding locking element. Every groove is provided with furrows such that the protruding locking element arrives in the corresponding furrow after two panels have been plugged together. An adhesive-free connection between two panels which is effected by positive fit is thus created. The use of adhesive is not necessary to assembly panels to form a floor or wall covering. Of course, it is nevertheless possible and in some cases – as in the present invention – advantageous to use adhesive additionally.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 29 on page 15 bridging page 16 with the following amended paragraph:

In the figure 2c, two boards 1 and 2 having laterally mounted locking means are shown. The locking means connect the boards 1 and 2 without adhesives. According to figure 2c, the boards 1 and 2 are connected or interlocked by positive fit in a perpendicular direction relative to the surface 3 of the boards 1 and 2 as well as in a parallel direction relative to the surface 3 of the board. Furthermore the two boards 1 and 2 are interlocked in a perpendicular direction relative to the common connecting joint 4. A displacement of the board 1 relative to the board 2 in a parallel direction relative to the common connecting joint 4 is possible in a limited extent, as will later be explained in connection with figure 1.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 4 on page 16 with the following amended paragraph:

In the initial position or intermediate position, there may be a play " Δ " at the common connecting joint 4. Because of the play, the boards can be pulled apart a little (corresponding to the size of the play), namely in a perpendicular direction relative to the common connecting joint 4 and parallel to the surface 3. The locking elements according to figure 2b are made in such a way that, starting from an initial position, a final position in which there is

Amendment Dated June 7, 2007

Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

The establishment of the connection is illustrated by means of the figures 2a to 2c. First, the

new board 2 must be positioned over the laid board 1 such that the two overlap by around

1/3rd of the length of the board. Then, the new board 2 is lowered such that the perpendicular

tongue 9 can be lowered into the corresponding perpendicular groove 7 (figure 2b). This is

possible in spite of the lateral groove-tongue-connection 5, 6 connection between the

horizontal lateral groove 5 and the lateral tongue 6, since the perpendicular groove-tongue-

connection is wedge-shaped. The common connecting joint 4 at this point in time still has a

play " Δ ".

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 31 on page 18 with the following amended

paragraph:

In figure 2b, the second board 2 is shifted along the common connecting joint 4, the joint

inevitably being closed due to the wedge-shaped faces of the connection between the

perpendicular groove-tongue connection 9, 7 groove 7 and perpendicular locking element 9.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 33 on page 18 bridging page 19 with the

following amended paragraph:

In figure 2c, the faces now adjoin intimately, i.e., over their whole length. The two boards are

now fixed in a positive fit in all axes with the exception of a backward displacement along a

common joint 4.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 3 on page 19 with the following amended

paragraph:

Figure 3 now illustrates the laying of a flooring with the panels according to the invention.

The boards that are already laid are marked 1' and 2. The connecting common joints 4 at the

end faces are effected by the lowering and displacing according to the invention along the

common joint 4, while the longitudinal connecting joint 4' can be effected by bringing the

boards closer in the plane, for example, by means of a snap-in or snap-together connection.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 11 on page 19 with the following amended

paragraph:

Page 6 of 18

Amendment Dated June 7, 2007

Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

no play between the boards 1 and 2 or panels is reached by displacement within a plane

parallel relative to the common connecting joint. Furthermore, the locking elements

according to figure 2b are made in such a way that the board 2 can be lifted in a

perpendicular direction in the initial position shown, i.e., that no perpendicular locking has

yet taken place. This is not possible anymore in the intermediate position.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 17 on page 16 with the following amended

paragraph:

Figure 1 shows two boards according to the invention before they are brought into the initial

position. The first board 1 which has already been laid has at its right side edge the locking

elements 4, 5, 7, 18 comprising horizontal lateral groove 5, perpendicular groove 7, and

second locking element 18. These substantially comprise a perpendicular groove 7 extending

in a perpendicular direction relative to the surface 3 of the board which groove is limited by

lateral wall surfaces 11 and 10. Towards the left, i.e., in the direction of the interior of the

boards, the perpendicular groove 7 merges into a horizontal lateral groove 5 which thus

permits an undercut under the board's surface 3. Thus, the bottom of the perpendicular groove

7 together with the groove trough of the horizontal lateral groove 5 in this case forms a

common flat surface 51.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 29 on page 16 bridging page 17 with the

following amended paragraph:

Furthermore, the lateral boundary wall surface 11 of the perpendicular groove 7 at the same

time forms the bottom of the groove boundary of the lateral groove 5. On the other side, the

perpendicular groove 7 is limited by [[a]] lateral wall surface 10. This wall surface 10, just

like the corresponding lateral wall surface 16 of the second board 2, has the special feature

that it does not run parallel to the joint 4 which form forms the visible narrow side of the

boards 1 and 2 and which is defined by the encounter of the walls wall surfaces 12 and 13

formed perpendicular from the board surface 3 of the boards. Thus, the walls lateral wall

surfaces 10 and 16 have the special feature that they are not formed like all other millings

with an angle of 90° to the longitudinal edge of the panel.

Page 3 of 18

Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 4 on page 17 with the following amended paragraph:

According to the invention, a comparatively very great snap-together height and an optimal angle (90° to the surface of the board or dovetail-like) of the lateral walls wall surfaces 16, 10 can be realized at a small thickness, without the occurrence of compression forces, shear forces, splitting forces or bending forces. The snap-together height is the height of the walls lateral wall surfaces 16 and 10 that are in contact with each other. The second board 2 has corresponding connecting elements locking element 9, lateral tongue 6, and second perpendicular groove 17. A perpendicular locking element 9 leads downwards away from the surface 3 of the board, which perpendicular locking element 9 can get into the perpendicular groove 7 of the first board 1 similar to a tongue, when the second board 2 is lowered onto the first board 1, the two boards overlapping to about 1/3rd of the length of the common joint 4. The width of the perpendicular locking element varies wedge-like over the length of the board. Its course is also adapted to the wedge-shaped course of the lateral wall surface 10 of the perpendicular groove 7 of the first board, so that the resepective respective lateral walls wall surfaces 10 and 16 serve as gliding surfaces during the displacement of the two boards along the common joint 4. The wedge-shape makes the pushing together of the boards with great force.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 22 on page 17 with the following amended paragraph:

In order to make a lowering of the two boards into the initial position possible it is necessary that the width of the perpendicular locking element 9 of the second board 2 at the rear end of the end of the board 2 is smaller than the width measured between the lateral walls wall surfaces 13 and 10 of the perpendicular groove 7 in the front third of the first board 1.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 28 on page 17 with the following amended paragraph:

The second board 2 also has, as locking element 9 that has an effect in the vertical direction, a lateral tongue 6 that has an effect in the parallel direction relative to the surface 3 of the board. The bottom side of the lateral tongue 6 together with the bottom side of the

Amendment Dated June 7, 2007

Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

perpendicular locking element 9 forms a flat bottom surface 61. The top side of the lateral

tongue 6 is slightly chamfered just like the upper groove cheek of the horizontal lateral

groove 5 of the lower board 1 in order to facilitate an introduction of the lateral tongue 6 into

the horizontal lateral groove 5. Thus, lower manufacturing tolerances must be adhered to.

These chamferings can be seen more clearly in figures 6 to 8.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 1 on page 18 with the following amended

paragraph:

These chamferings also have advantages with regard to production technique. For the The

milling spindles can be tilted and can thus use the space that has become available because of

the chamferings as room for maneuvering. Thus, the walls lateral wall surfaces 10 and 16 are

not damaged during the run since the milling need not take place in direct proximity to the

walls lateral wall surface 10 and corresponding lateral wall surface 16.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 8 on page 18 with the following amended

paragraph:

Furthermore, these chamferings have the advantage that the lateral end of the lateral tongue 6

need not contact the lateral wall surface 11 in the bottom of the groove, but rather is clamped

wedge-like between the groove cheeks of the horizontal lateral groove 5. Because of the thus

increased contact area, a particularly strong connection is achieved.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 14 on page 18 with the following amended

paragraph:

A second perpendicular groove 17 extends perpendicularly upwards from the perpendicular

locking element 9 and is able to receive the second locking element 18 of the lower board. By

providing a plurality of groove tongue connectons groove-tongue connections, more contact

areas surfaces, for example 12, 13, 10, 16, 11, 15, are provided, whereby the connection is

made more stable and whereby, in particular, the common joint 4 can be closed free of play.

This is then also secure if moments are applied.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 22 on page 18 with the following amended

paragraph:

Page 5 of 18

Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

As explained under figure 2a, the board 1 that is to be newly laid is lowered, offset relative to

the laid board 2, at its short end face so that the two locking means 9 and 7 (perpendicular

locking element 9 and perpendicular groove 7) of the boards can interlock. While the newly

laid board 1 is displaced along the lateral connecting common joint 4 towards the already laid

boards 1', the lateral connecting common joint 4 closes. At the same time, more or less as a

byproduct, a snap-together connection is effected by the connecting joint 4' at the

longitudinal sides-4. Thus, the lockings of joints 4, 4' at the end faces and at the longitudinal

sides are effected at the same time by the displacement procedure.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 29 on page 19 with the following amended

paragraph:

Figure 4 shows a detailed view of the connecting means locked in the final position. Here, the

edge is shown where the perpendicular locking element 9 has the maximum width and where

the second locking element 18, which is also wedge-shaped, has the minimal width. The

aforementioned elements contact each other along a sliding surface formed by the

perpendicular walls lateral wall surfaces 10 and 16 that run in a wedge shape over the width

of the board. The view shown therefore corresponds to a front view of the boards from

figures 1 and 2.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 2 on page 20 with the following amended

paragraph:

The contour of the non-visible lateral walls wall surfaces 10', 16' in the rear area of the board

is indicated as a dotted line. The distance between the drawn lateral wall surface 10 and the

indicated wall surface 10' therefore is the greatest possible play "\Delta" around which the boards

can be moved perpendicular to the common joint 4. The perpendicular walls lateral wall

surfaces 12 and 13 that abut in the area of the common joint 4 therefore can maximally have

this distance " Δ ". Furthermore, the play " Δ " is dimensioned such that it is larger than the

length of the <u>lateral</u> tongue 6 protruding at the perpendicular connecting <u>locking</u> element 9 in

order to make a lowering of the perpendicular connecting locking element 9 into the

perpendicular groove 7 possible in the final position. Thus, the laterally mounted lateral

tongue $\underline{6}$ is shorter than the play that can occur maximally at the common joint.

Page 7 of 18

Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 14 on page 20 with the following amended paragraph:

paragrapii.

The invention can be formed as in the embodiment according to scale if the length of the

lateral groove 6 5 amounts to 2 mm, the maximal width of the perpendicular groove 7 to 8.7

mm and its minimal width 5.8 mm, so that a play of 2.9 mm is the result. The maximum and

minimum width of the second perpendicular locking element therefore amounts to 6.7 mm

and 3.8 mm. A free length of 12.5 mm for the lower lip formed from the perpendicular

groove 7 and the perpendicular second locking element 18 is the result. A length of the lateral

tongue 6 of 2 mm for the vertical locking produces a great closing strength and secure

locking that is not achieved in known panels.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 25 on page 20 with the following amended

paragraph:

As regards dimensioning and reference numerals, figure 5 corresponds to figure 4, however,

the lateral walls wall surfaces 10 and 16 that serve as sliding areas are undercut similar to a

dovetail so that a tensile force is exerted upon the connection. Thus, the two lateral wall

surfaces 10, 16 hook together so that a downward deflection is not possible even if strong

bending moments are exerted on the connections.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 32 on page 20 bridging page 21 with the

following amended paragraph:

The further exemplary embodiment from figure 6 also corresponds to figure 4 as regards

dimensioning and reference numerals, however, an additional lateral tongue 61 6A is

provided on the first board 1 for reaching into an additional lateral groove 51 5A in the

second board 2. In design and function, they correspond to the lateral horizontal tongue 6 or

the horizontal lateral groove 5 already described above of the corresponding other boards 2,

1. The additional groove-tongue-connection 51, 61 5A, 6A on the bottom side of the boards

prevents the lower groove cheek evading the lateral groove 5 under tensile stress. The lock

against an offset in height is therefore effected twice.

Page 8 of 18

Amendment Dated June 7, 2007

Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 6 on page 21 with the following amended

paragraph:

It can further be seen in figure 6 that the lateral end of the lateral tongue 6 does not contact

the lateral wall surface 11 in the bottom of the lateral groove 5 but is clamped wedge-like

between the groove cheeks of the lateral groove 5. In all, it is found that in this embodiment

the connected boards 1, 2 have a common course of the profile that is substantially point-

symmetric, at least in the area of the horizontal tongues 6, 61 6A and grooves 5, 51 5A.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 13 on page 21 with the following amended

paragraph:

The size of the smallest cross section, via which the perpendicular locking element 9

respectively and the perpendicular second locking element 18 is connected connect with the

upper lip of the second board 2 respectively with the upper lip of the first board 1, determines

the total strength of the connection in all exemplary embodiments. This area is sheared off

when the connection is put under stress until breaking. If the cross section represented in

figure 6 by a measure of length A is made larger, then the connection becomes stronger.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on line 22 on page 21 with the following amended

paragraph:

The concrete dimensioning for a connection produced according to figure 6 can be seen from

figures 7 and 8. The value for measure A is therefore [[--]] dependent on the course of the

wedge surface lateral wall surfaces 10 and 16 or 10' and 16' (5.25-1=4.25 mm or 3.75-1=2.75

mm). For the panels and thicknesses specified according to the invention, a value of 2-10

mm, preferably 2.5-7 mm, and particularly preferred, 2.5-5.5 mm was found.

Page 9 of 18